

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

<b>SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,</b>	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 4:22-CV-03359
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
<b>MAURICIO CHAVEZ,</b>	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
<b>GIORGIO BENVENUTO, and</b>	)	
<b>CryptoFX, LLC,</b>	)	
	)	
Defendants,	)	
	)	
<b>CBT GROUP, LLC,</b>	)	
	)	
Relief Defendant.	)	
	)	

**PLAINTIFF’S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO ENTER AGREED BIFURCATED  
JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT MAURICIO CHAVEZ**

Plaintiff U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) and Defendant Mauricio Chavez (“Defendant”) have reached a partial settlement. The Commission moves the Court to enter agreed bifurcated judgment against Defendant. The bifurcated judgment would resolve the Defendant’s liability and the Commission’s claims against Defendant for injunctive relief. Further, the bifurcated judgment would also provide for resolution of the Commission’s remaining claims for monetary relief by the Court upon a future motion by the Commission.

**I. Relevant Procedural History**

The Commission filed its Complaint on September 19, 2022. (Dkt. No. 3.) On the same day, the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order and Orders (i) Freezing Assets; (ii) Prohibiting the Destruction of Documents; (iii) Granting Expedited Discovery; (iv) Requiring

Sworn Accountings; (v) Permitting Alternative Means of Service, and (vi) Setting Hearing Date on Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction against Defendants. (Dkt. No. 7.)

## **II. The Settlement**

The Commission and Defendant, through his respective attorneys, engaged in settlement discussions and negotiations that resulted in this partial settlement. Defendant has executed a written consent (the "Consent") that sets out the terms of the partial settlement. A copy of the Consent executed by Chavez is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and a copy of the Judgment is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."

Under the terms of the Consent, Defendant, among other things, (i) acknowledges having been served with the Complaint; (ii) enters a general appearance; (iii) admits the Court's jurisdiction over Defendant and over the subject matter of this action; (iv) waives the entry of findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; (v) consents to the entry of a bifurcated judgment (the "Judgment") in the form attached hereto as Exhibit B; and (vi) waives any right to appeal from the entry of the Judgment.

The Judgment as to Defendant provides, among other things, that Defendant is enjoined from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)]; Sections 5(a) and 5(c) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. § 77(e)(a) & (c)]; and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") [15 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]; Sections 206(1) and (2) of the Advisors Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 80b-6(1)-(2)]. Further, the Judgment as to Chavez enjoins him from directly or indirectly, including, but not limited to, through any entity owned or controlled by him, participating in the issuance, purchase, offer, or sale of any securities, provided, however, that

such injunction shall not prevent Chavez from purchasing securities listed on a national securities exchange for his own account.

The Commission's claims for disgorgement, prejudgment interest, and civil penalties remain unresolved. The Judgment provides that the Court shall determine these issues upon a later motion of the Commission. With respect to the Commission's motion for these remedies, the Judgment provides, among other things, that (i) Defendant will be precluded from arguing that he or it did not violate the federal securities laws as alleged in the Complaint; (ii) Defendant may not challenge the validity of the Consent or the Judgment; (iii) solely for the purposes of such motion, the allegations of the Complaint shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court; and (iv) the Court may determine the issues raised in the motion for remedies on the basis of affidavits, declarations, excerpts of sworn deposition or investigative testimony, and documentary evidence, without regard to the standards for summary judgment contained in Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

### **III. Conclusion**

The Commission respectfully requests that the Court enter this Motion and enter the proposed Judgment to Defendant.

Dated: August 29, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND  
EXCHANGE COMMISSION

s/Matthew J. Gulde

Mathew J. Gulde

Illinois Bar No. 6272325

United States Securities and Exchange Commission

Burnett Plaza, Suite 1900

801 Cherry Street, Unit 18

Fort Worth, TX 76102

Telephone: (817) 978-1410

Facsimile: (817) 978-4927

[guldem@sec.gov](mailto:guldem@sec.gov)

*Attorney for the Plaintiff*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I affirm that on August 29, 2023, I filed the forgoing document with the Clerk of the Court for the Northern District of Texas, Lubbock Division, using the CM/ECF system. The electronic case filing system will send a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to all counsel of record who has consented in writing to accept service of this document by electronic means.

*s/Matthew J. Gulde*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew J. Gulde